

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,004	11/28/2001	Hiroshi Yamada	FUJI 19.210	6947
	7590 08/24/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI		EXAMINER	
575 MADISON	I AVENUE	HARRELL, ROBERT B		
NEW YORK, I	NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/004,004	YAMADA, HIROSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Robert B. Harrell	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the provision of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a coord will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	<u>June 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> is/are:		cted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	<del>- · ·</del>				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	ian priority under 35 11 S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ight priority under 35 O.S.C.	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received				
Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bure	•	Ç			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		received.			
Attachment(s).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		e attached Office Action.			

Application/Control Number: 10/004,004

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The claims now recite "dynamic" limitations.
- 3. The applicant should always use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., provide proper antecedent basis for "the" and "said" Minor typographical errors could render a Patent within each claim). unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.

## 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter since such reads on (encompass) software or program per se' (In re Beauregard (CAFC) 35 USPQ2d 1383) and MPEP 2106 **COMPUTER-RELATED EXAMINATION** GUIDELINES - FOR (New INVENTIONS). Even though drafted as "A device", the applicant's figure 4, as an example and non-limiting the claims, shows the device 32 as an "application" (i.e., software application). There is no suggest in the specification or figures that the application in figure 4 is other than software (i.e., hardware). The reason this rejection was not earlier presented is due to constant flux of Court precedence and modification of the Examination Guidelines.
- 6. The rejection, and grounds for rejection, under 35 U.S.C. 101 as presented in examiner's prior Office Action mailed 19 March 2007, are hereby maintained and incorporated in this Office Action by reference.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/004,004

Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

- 7. The applicant argued in his 14 June 2007 response by stating in substance that examiner had cited to Fig. 4 of this instant application as allegedly showing that the rejected claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter. The applicant respectfully points out that Fig. 4 illustrates an ATM switching unit 30 that includes a switch part 31 and an application part 32. And the rejected claims recite interaction of various parts of a connection data change device with an associated switching refit, exemplary embodiments of which are illustrated in Fig. 4. In support the applicant cited to MPEP 2106.01(I) and portions of the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility states of R.A.C.C. Indus. v. Stun-Tech, Inc., 178 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (unpublished). However, examiner notes that the 1998 Court citation is "unpublished". With respect to claims 1-7 as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, as argued by the applicant with respect to ATM switching unit 30, claims 1-7 need not encompass just the ATM switching unit 30, as is in claims 9-11, of this instant application, which were not equally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 since claims 9-11 stated "A switching unit" the very heading of element 30 in figure 4. Here, by the wording of "A switching unit", claims 9-11 encompasses the whole of figure 4, including physical hardware whilst claims 1-7 are broader to encompass only element 32 the "Application Part". "Application Part" is deemed, by common terminology in the Art for "Application", to mean software and/or programs. Also, "device", as recited in claim 1-7, need not be limited to "A hardware connection data change device" per se' but rather software devices and/or program devices (i.e., Titles of US 5794796 A, US 5873472 A, US 5896544 A, US 6339802 B1, as examples of common knowledge and terminology in the Art; not new as a bases for any rejection).
- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

## A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in — (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international

Art Unit: 2142

## application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;

- 9 <u>Claims 1- 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e)</u> as being by Chiu et al. (United States Patent Number: US 6,597,689).
- 10. Prior to addressing the grounds of the rejections below, should this application ever be the subject of public review by third parties not so versed with the technology (i.e., access to IFW through Public PAIR (as found on http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair)), this Office action will usually refer an applicant's attention to relevant and helpful elements, figures, and/or text upon which the Office action relies to support the position taken. Thus, the following citations are neither all-inclusive nor all-exclusive in nature as the whole of the reference is cited and relied upon in this action as part of the substantial evidence of record. Also, no temporal order was claimed for the acts and/or functions.
- 11. The rejections, and grounds for rejections, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as presented in examiner's prior Office Action mailed 19 March 2007, 27 October 2006, and 11 April 2006, are each hereby maintained and incorporated in this Office Action by reference.
- 12. The applicant argued in his 14 June 2007 response by stating in substance that Chiu only include description of releasing and setting up PVC's, and, therefore, fails to disclose the claimed feature of changing the type of a connection to an external switching unit in the connection data after the connection to the external The claimed invention provides for changing the switching unit is set up. connection type in the connection management data from a dynamic connection to a static connection, an exemplary embodiment of which is illustrated by step S40 in Fig. 7. And the claimed invention provides for storing the dynamic information set in the dynamic connection, an exemplary embodiment of which is illustrated by step S50 in Fig. 7. Thus, advantageously, the claimed invention provides for realizing an operation process at the time of a static connection change ... by an operation process at the time of a dynamic connection change, thus simplifying an operation at the time of a connection change (page 14 (lines 24-28 of the specification)). However,

there is no recital of an additional limitation of "static" as was the addition of "dynamic" within claims 1-11 as was recited by Chiu for dynamic per col. 4 (lines

Application/Control Number: 10/004,004 Page 5

Art Unit: 2142

8-23), col. 8 (lines 45-48), col. 13 (lines 25-28), and col. 18 (line 52-et seq.), and per static per col. 4 (lines 7-38) and col. 18 (lines 52-et seq.). Hence, since the system of Chiu is accordingly changed over time, which requires storage of such changes, Chiu clearly taught and/or anticipated the claimed feature of changing the type of a connection to an external switching unit in the connection data after the connection to the external switching unit was set up. Therefore, Chiu also provided for changing the connection type in the connection management data from a "dynamic" connection to a "static" connection, and Chiu provided for storing the dynamic information set in the dynamic connection. Thus, advantageously, Chiu also provided for realizing an operation process at the time of a static connection change by an operation process at the time of a dynamic connection change, thus simplifying an operation at the time of a connection change.

- 13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2142

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for all papers is (571) 273-8300.
- 17. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

**GROUP 2142**